

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/790,425	Applicant(s) DOBRINDT, DIRK	
	Examiner Thomas A. Morrison	Art Unit 3653	

All Participants:

Status of Application: Allowance

(1) Thomas A. Morrison.

(3) _____

(2) Mr. Lawrence Kessler (Reg. No. 24,637).

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 17 October 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

1, 4, 6 and 8

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet


Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Faxed a proposed amendment to Mr. Kessler (copy attached). Then, contacted Mr. Kessler (applicant's representative) on 10/17/05 to get approval for such proposed amendment to claims 1, 4, 6 and 8 via examiner's amendment. The changes to claims 1, 4, 6 and 8 were made to provide proper antecedent basis in these claims. Also, one additional change was made to claim 1 to clarify the structure that allows the grasping device and the transport to rotate at a predetermined rotational speed ratio with respect to one another. In particular, claim 1, as now amended by the examiner's amendment, recites means for driving said grasping device and said transport in a rotating manner at a predetermined rotational speed ratio with respect to one another. Mr. Kessler approved such changes during the telephone conversation on 10/17/05. The changes to claims 1, 4, 6 and 8 were discussed with a primary examiner prior to contacting Mr. Kessler on 10/17/05..


DONALD
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600